

Wavelet-based integral representation for solutions of the wave equation

Maria V Perel and Mikhail S Sidorenko

September 12, 2008

Department of Mathematical Physics, Physics Faculty,
St.Petersburg University,
Ulyanovskaya 1-1, Petrodvorets, St.Petersburg, 198904, Russia
`mailto: perel@mph.phys.spbu.ru, M-Sidorenko@yandex.ru`

Abstract

An integral representation of solutions of the wave equation as a superposition of other solutions of this equation is built. The solutions from a wide class can be used as building blocks for the representation. Considerations are based on mathematical techniques of continuous wavelet analysis. The formulas obtained are justified from the point of view of distribution theory. A comparison of the results with those by G. Kaiser is carried out. Methods of obtaining physical wavelets are discussed.

1 Introduction

The aim of the paper is to find a new exact integral representation of solutions of the wave equation. We consider a homogeneous equation with constant coefficients in a three-dimensional space. We represent a solution as a superposition of some other elementary solutions of the wave equation, which can be taken from a wide class. Although we use the term 'elementary', the solutions may be rather complicated. We call them '*elementary*', because we represent other solutions as their superposition.

A well-known exact integral representation for the wave equation of such a kind is the Fourier integral, where solutions are decomposed into the superposition of plane waves. However it is sometimes convenient to have elementary solutions well-localized in space. This is useful for studying local properties of solutions and, among other things, for studying the propagation of singularities. An approximate integral representation of solutions as a superposition of localized Gaussian beams is developed in [1]-[4]. However, it is heuristic and inexact. Other mathematical methods for developing an exact representation of this kind are necessary.

In our paper, we use mathematical techniques of wavelet analysis. It has been developed in the 80s of the 20th century. The main ideas of wavelet analysis take their origin in group representation theory and in the theory of coherent states (see [5, 6] and references therein). The first papers on continuous wavelet analysis theory were motivated by applications to seismic wave propagation [7, 8]. These papers stimulated interest in wavelet analysis. Nowadays a great many of books and articles on wavelet analysis are available (see [9]-[14] for instance).

Continuous wavelet analysis provides a reconstruction formula, which allows us to represent functions as a superposition of a family of "elementary" functions obtained from one function in a special way. The techniques of continuous wavelet analysis imply the following steps. First, we need to fix the Hilbert space of functions under consideration. Second, we choose a certain function called a 'mother wavelet' in this Hilbert space. The function must satisfy a special admissibility condition. Next we specify a group of transformations, which will be applied to the mother wavelet in order to construct the family of wavelets. In this way, an overcomplete set of functions is obtained. The group must have some special properties (see [8, 10]). Next a wavelet transform of an arbitrary function from the Hilbert space under consideration is obtained as the scalar product of the function and each of

the wavelets from the family constructed. The wavelet transform depends on group parameters and contains information about local properties of the function (see [10]).

The wavelet transforms of all functions from the considered Hilbert space themselves form a subspace of the Hilbert space of functions of group parameters. The map from the space of functions to the space of wavelet transforms is an isometry. This allows us to obtain a reconstruction formula. We follow this scheme in our argument below.

A special case of continuous wavelet analysis based on the analytic signal transform in a three-dimensional space was first applied to the wave equation by G. Kaiser in [14] and developed in [15]-[17]. He obtained an integral representation formula for solutions of the homogeneous wave equation as a superposition of elementary solutions derived from one fixed mother wavelet only, named by him the '*physical wavelet*'.

In outline, the content of the paper is as follows. First we present in Section 2 a brief discussion of the idea of our method and illustrate it with a few simple facts. A more detailed development of the method is a subject of other sections. The aim of Section 3 is to construct a wavelet-based integral representation for solutions of the wave equation. We split the whole space of solutions into a direct sum of two subspaces containing solutions with positive and negative frequencies. In each of them we obtain a family of elementary solutions by applying transformations to a certain solution at a fixed moment of time. This solution must satisfy an admissibility condition. The transformations are spatial translations, dilations, and rotations, which are common for standard wavelet analysis. We also apply a dilation of time. We suggest to use the wavelet transform of the solution as coefficients for its integral representation. We also show that the coefficients can be expressed in terms of the solution itself and its time-derivative at a fixed moment of time, and this does not require the decomposition of the solution into positive- and negative-frequency parts. A justification of the results from the point of view of the theory of distributions is given. A detailed comparison with the results of Kaiser has been carried out in [18], and a brief review of it is given here.

In Section 4, we discuss the possibility of obtaining new physical wavelets by means of some known methods of constructing explicit exact solutions of the wave equation. We also consider exponentially localized physical wavelets found and generalized in [19, 20, 21], wavelet properties of which has been studied in [22].

2 Preliminary discussion

Fourier analysis allows one to construct a solution $u(\mathbf{r}, t)$ of the wave equation

$$u_{tt}(\mathbf{r}, t) - c^2[u_{xx}(\mathbf{r}, t) + u_{yy}(\mathbf{r}, t)] = 0, \quad c = \text{const}, \quad (1)$$

as the superposition of plane waves. For example, a solution with positive frequencies has the decomposition

$$u(\mathbf{r}, t) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} d^2\mathbf{k} \hat{u}(\mathbf{k}) \exp(i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r} - i|\mathbf{k}|ct). \quad (2)$$

Here $\hat{u}(\mathbf{k})$ is the Fourier transform of the function $u(\mathbf{r}, 0)$. For the sake of simplicity, here we consider the two-dimensional case. The aim of our work is to construct another integral representation of a solution of the wave equation as the superposition of its localized solutions from a wide class. Instead of using Fourier analysis, we invoke mathematical techniques of continuous wavelet analysis. First we consider a special case of wavelet analysis to compare it with the Fourier transform. It allows us to represent an arbitrary functions in terms different from harmonic exponents. In the case of the Special choice of the mother wavelet, the representation of the function $u(\mathbf{r})$ can be written in the form

$$u(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{C_\varphi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} d^2\mathbf{k} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} d^2\mathbf{b} U(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{b}) \exp\left[-\frac{|\mathbf{k}|^2}{2\sigma^2} |\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{b}|^2\right] \left(e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot (\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{b})} - e^{-\sigma^2/2}\right), \quad (3)$$

where $U(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{b})$ is defined by the formula

$$U(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{b}) \equiv |\mathbf{k}|^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} d^2\mathbf{r} u(\mathbf{r}) \exp\left[-\frac{|\mathbf{k}|^2}{2\sigma^2} |\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{b}|^2\right] \left(e^{-i\mathbf{k} \cdot (\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{b})} - e^{-\sigma^2/2}\right), \quad (4)$$

and C_φ is a constant that plays the role of $(2\pi)^2$ in the Fourier formula (2). If $\sigma \gg 1$, the second term can be neglected, and this formula can be interpreted as the Fourier transform of the function $u(\mathbf{r})$ multiplied by a cutting exponent in the vicinity of the point $\mathbf{b}$. The larger $|\mathbf{k}|$ the smaller the width of the cutting function.

Now we introduce a solution $\varphi^{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{b}}(\mathbf{r}, t)$ of the wave equation, which is satisfies the following initial conditions

$$\varphi^{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{b}}(\mathbf{r}, t)|_{t=0} = \exp\left[-\frac{|\mathbf{k}|^2}{2\sigma^2} |\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{b}|^2\right] \left(e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot (\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{b})} - e^{-\sigma^2/2}\right), \quad (5)$$

$$\left. \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \varphi^{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{b}}(\mathbf{r}, t) \right|_{t=0} = 0.$$

Then taking into account formula (3), we can obtain a solution $\tilde{u}(\mathbf{r}, t)$ of the wave equation as follows:

$$\tilde{u}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \frac{1}{C_\varphi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} d^2 \mathbf{k} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} d^2 \mathbf{b} U(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{b}) \varphi^{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{b}}(\mathbf{r}, t), \quad (6)$$

where $U(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{b})$ is defined by the formula (4) and

$$\tilde{u}(\mathbf{r}, t)|_{t=0} = u(\mathbf{r}). \quad (7)$$

However we cannot find an explicit solution $\varphi^{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{b}}(\mathbf{r}, t)$ satisfying (5). There exists an exact highly localized solution of the wave equation named the 'Gaussian wave packet', which was found in [20] and studied in [22] and which has the following explicit form:

$$\varphi(\mathbf{r}, t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{x + ct - i\varepsilon}} \exp \left(-p \sqrt{1 - \frac{i\theta}{\varepsilon}} \right), \quad \theta = x - ct + \frac{y^2}{x + ct - i\varepsilon}. \quad (8)$$

It was shown that if $p \gg 1$, this solution is actually an exponentially localized wave packet moving along the OX axis with speed c . Our aim is to construct an integral representation that expresses solutions in terms of the Gaussian wave packet (8) and other localized solutions of the wave equation.

The formulas (3) - (4) are formulas of continuous wavelet analysis but written in the nonstandard notation. The notation common for wavelet analysis is as follows. The spatial frequency parameter $\mathbf{k}$ is viewed as $\mathbf{k} = (\cos \beta, \sin \beta)/a$ and a, β are taken as parameters. The formula for a wavelet family reads

$$\varphi^{a, \beta, \mathbf{b}}(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{a} \varphi \left(M_\beta^{-1} \frac{\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{b}}{a} \right), \quad M_\beta = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \beta & -\sin \beta \\ \sin \beta & \cos \beta \end{pmatrix}, \quad (9)$$

where a defines a dilation, $\mathbf{b}$ defines a translation, and β defines a rotation of the argument of the function φ . Continuous wavelet analysis allows one to represent an arbitrary square-integrable function u as the superposition of wavelets φ :

$$u(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{C_\varphi} \int_0^{2\pi} d\beta \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{da}{a^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} d^2 \mathbf{b} U(a, \beta, \mathbf{b}) \varphi^{a, \beta, \mathbf{b}}(\mathbf{r}), \quad (10)$$

$$C_\varphi \equiv \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} d^2 \mathbf{k} \frac{|\widehat{\varphi}(\mathbf{k})|^2}{|\mathbf{k}|^2},$$

where the coefficients of the decomposition are defined by the formula

$$U(a, \beta, \mathbf{b}) \equiv \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} d^2 \mathbf{r} u(\mathbf{r}) \overline{\varphi^{a, \beta, \mathbf{b}}(\mathbf{r})}. \quad (11)$$

The integral for the coefficient C_φ must be convergent. This condition restricts the family of functions φ what can be used in these formulas.

Our idea is to take a solution of the wave equation and to use it as a wavelet in the initial moment of time. Further the following representation gives a solution of the wave equation:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{u}(\mathbf{r}, t) &= \frac{1}{C_\varphi} \int_0^{2\pi} d\beta \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{da}{a^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} d^2 \mathbf{b} U(a, \beta, \mathbf{b}) \tilde{\varphi}^{a, \beta, \mathbf{b}}(\mathbf{r}, t), \\ \tilde{u}(\mathbf{r}, t)|_{t=0} &= u(\mathbf{r}), \quad \tilde{\varphi}^{a, \beta, \mathbf{b}}(\mathbf{r}, t)|_{t=0} = \varphi^{a, \beta, \mathbf{b}}(\mathbf{r}), \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

and the family $\tilde{\varphi}^{a, \beta, \mathbf{b}}$ is defined by the formula

$$\tilde{\varphi}^{a, \beta, \mathbf{b}}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \frac{1}{a} \tilde{\varphi} \left(M_\beta^{-1} \frac{\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{b}}{a}, \frac{t}{a} \right). \quad (13)$$

The formulas written above are only an illustration of our method. The question is whether a solution of any initial-value problem can be represented in a similar form. We want to present these formulas in a common wavelet analysis formalism. There is also a problem of a convergence of integrals.

3 Integral representation for solutions of the wave equation

Generally speaking, we seek an integral representation of solutions of the wave equation in the form

$$u(\mathbf{r}, t) = \int d\mu(\nu) U(\nu) \varphi^\nu(\mathbf{r}, t), \quad (14)$$

where ν is a set of parameters, $\int d\mu(\nu)$ denotes integration with respect to the measure $\mu(\nu)$ in the space of parameters, $\varphi^\nu(\mathbf{r}, t)$ is a family of elementary

solutions dependent on the parameter ν , and the $U(\nu)$ are coefficients. In the following sections, we define each of these objects and the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ of solutions where such a representation is allowed.

3.1 The space $\mathcal{H}$ of solutions of the wave equation

Consider the homogeneous wave equation in $\mathbb{R}^3$ with a constant coefficient c :

$$\square u \equiv u_{tt} - c^2(u_{xx} + u_{yy} + u_{zz}) = 0, \quad \mathbf{r} = (x, y, z). \quad (15)$$

We fix the space $\mathcal{H}$ of complex-valued solutions of the wave equation as a space of functions $u(\mathbf{r}, t)$, which are square integrable with respect to the spatial coordinate $\mathbf{r}$ when the time t is fixed and have the following Fourier transform calculated with respect to the spatial coordinates when the time t is fixed:

$$\widehat{u}(\mathbf{k}, t) = \widehat{u}_+(\mathbf{k}, 0) e^{-i|\mathbf{k}|ct} + \widehat{u}_-(\mathbf{k}, 0) e^{i|\mathbf{k}|ct}, \quad \widehat{u}_\pm(\mathbf{k}, 0) \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^3). \quad (16)$$

If the integrals

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} d^3\mathbf{k} |\widehat{u}_\pm(\mathbf{k}, 0)| < \infty, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} d^3\mathbf{k} |\mathbf{k}|^2 |\widehat{u}_\pm(\mathbf{k}, 0)| < \infty, \quad (17)$$

converge, the solution $u(\mathbf{r}, t)$ allows one to take the second derivative in the classical sense and the function $u(\mathbf{r}, t)$ is a classical solution of (15). If the integrals (17) diverge, this means that the solution $u(\mathbf{r}, t)$ has discontinuities in the variable $\mathbf{r}$, and thus is not a classical solution of the wave equation. In that case, we introduce solutions in the sense of distributions. The function $u(\mathbf{r}, t)$ is a solution of (15) in the sense of distributions [23] if it satisfies the equation

$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2} \langle u(\mathbf{r}, t), \beta(\mathbf{r}) \rangle = c^2 \langle u(\mathbf{r}, t), \Delta \beta(\mathbf{r}) \rangle \quad (18)$$

for all test functions $\beta(\mathbf{r})$ in a certain class. We consider test functions $\beta(\mathbf{r})$ such that they decay at infinity faster than $|\mathbf{r}|^m$, $\forall m > 0$, and have all derivatives. This class of test functions is usually named the Schwartz class $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ (see [23]). The notation $\langle u(\mathbf{r}, t), \beta(\mathbf{r}) \rangle$ stands for the common $L_2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ scalar product. If the function $u(\mathbf{r}, t) \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ satisfies the equation (18) and have the second derivative in $\mathbf{r}$ and t , it is a classical solution of the wave equation (15). We note here that even if the function $u(\mathbf{r}, t)$ itself cannot

be differentiated in t , it is easy to show that the integral $\langle u(\mathbf{r}, t), \beta(\mathbf{r}) \rangle$ is a smooth function of t .

The space of solutions $\mathcal{H}$ is decomposed into a direct sum of two subspaces $\mathcal{H}_\pm$ defined as follows:

$$\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_+ \oplus \mathcal{H}_-, \quad u = u_+ + u_-, \quad (19)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_+ &= \{u_+ : \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R} \mapsto \mathbb{C} \mid u_+ \in \mathcal{H}, \widehat{u}_+(\mathbf{k}, t) = \widehat{u}_+(\mathbf{k}, 0) \exp(-i|\mathbf{k}|ct)\}, \\ \mathcal{H}_- &= \{u_- : \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R} \mapsto \mathbb{C} \mid u_- \in \mathcal{H}, \widehat{u}_-(\mathbf{k}, t) = \widehat{u}_-(\mathbf{k}, 0) \exp(i|\mathbf{k}|ct)\}. \end{aligned}$$

The spaces $\mathcal{H}_\pm$ consist of solutions supported on the positive-frequency and negative-frequency light cones, respectively.

In the space $\mathcal{H}_+$, we introduce a common $L_2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ scalar product with respect to the spatial coordinates $\mathbf{r}$:

$$\langle u_+, v_+ \rangle \equiv \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} d^3\mathbf{r} u_+(\mathbf{r}, t) \overline{v_+(\mathbf{r}, t)} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} d^3\mathbf{k} \widehat{u}_+(\mathbf{k}, 0) \overline{\widehat{v}_+(\mathbf{k}, 0)}. \quad (20)$$

This scalar product does not depend on the time t . This is the main reason why we decompose the whole $\mathcal{H}$ into a direct sum of these two subspaces $\mathcal{H}_\pm$. If we try to use the common $L_2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ scalar product directly in the space $\mathcal{H}$, the exponents $\exp(i|\mathbf{k}|ct)$ and $\exp(-i|\mathbf{k}|ct)$ do not cancel, and the time dependance is not removed. The case of $\mathcal{H}_-$ is analogous to $\mathcal{H}_+$.

3.2 Wavelet-based integral representation for solutions from $\mathcal{H}_+$

In this section, we give a decomposition of solutions from $\mathcal{H}_+$ in terms of elementary solutions of the form (14). First we determine a family of elementary solutions of the wave equation (15).

We fix a solution $\varphi_+(\mathbf{r}, t)$ of the wave equation (15) that belongs to the space $\mathcal{H}_+$. The only constraint here is the following admissibility condition on the Fourier transform $\widehat{\varphi}_+(\mathbf{k}, 0)$ of φ_+ :

$$C_\varphi^+ \equiv \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} d^3\mathbf{k} \frac{|\widehat{\varphi}_+(\mathbf{k}, 0)|^2}{|\mathbf{k}|^3} < \infty. \quad (21)$$

We may call this solution a 'physical wavelet', following the terminology introduced by G. Kaiser [14]. We also assume that the physical wavelet

belongs to $L_2(\mathbb{R}^3) \cap L_1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ if the time t is fixed. Then the admissibility condition (21) holds if the Fourier transform $\widehat{\varphi}_+(\mathbf{k}, 0)$ has a root of any order at the origin $\mathbf{k} = 0$. For the sake of simplicity, we assume that the mother wavelet has an axial symmetry with respect to the OX axis. A more general case will also be considered below.

We construct a family of elementary solutions in the following way. We apply translations by $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^3$, dilations by $a > 0$, and rotations through angles ϑ_1, ϑ_2 to the spatial coordinates $\mathbf{r}$. We also introduce the dilation of the time t by a . We denote by $\varphi_+^\nu(\mathbf{r}, t)$ the family of solutions obtained, supplying it with the superscript ν :

$$\varphi_+^\nu(\mathbf{r}, t) \equiv \frac{1}{a^{3/2}} \varphi_+ \left(\mathbf{M}_{\vartheta_1, \vartheta_2}^{-1} \frac{\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{b}}{a}, \frac{t}{a} \right), \quad \nu = (a, \mathbf{b}, \vartheta_1, \vartheta_2), \quad (22)$$

where $\mathbf{M}_{\vartheta_1, \vartheta_2}$ is defined as follows:

$$\mathbf{M}_{\vartheta_1, \vartheta_2} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \vartheta_1 & -\sin \vartheta_1 & 0 \\ \sin \vartheta_1 & \cos \vartheta_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cos \vartheta_2 & -\sin \vartheta_2 \\ 0 & \sin \vartheta_2 & \cos \vartheta_2 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (23)$$

In this notation, the three parameters $a, \vartheta_1, \vartheta_2$ have the meaning of a spatial frequency vector $\mathbf{q}$, where $|\mathbf{q}|$ is proportional to $1/a$ and the angles define its direction in spherical coordinate system.

Now we define the coefficients $U(\nu)$ of the decomposition (14). We suggest to put these coefficients equal to the wavelet transform of the solution $u_+(\mathbf{r}, t) \in \mathcal{H}_+$. Then the coefficients $U_+(\nu)$ are defined in terms of the scalar product of u_+ and φ_+^ν :

$$\begin{aligned} U_+(\nu) &\equiv \langle u_+, \varphi_+^\nu \rangle = \frac{1}{a^{3/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} d^3\mathbf{r} u_+(\mathbf{r}, t) \overline{\varphi_+ \left(\mathbf{M}_{\vartheta_1, \vartheta_2}^{-1} \frac{\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{b}}{a}, \frac{t}{a} \right)} \\ &= \frac{a^{3/2}}{(2\pi)^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} d^3\mathbf{k} \widehat{u}_+(\mathbf{r}, 0) \exp(i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{b}) \overline{\widehat{\varphi}_+ (a\mathbf{M}_{\vartheta_1, \vartheta_2}^{-1} \mathbf{k}, 0)}. \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

Since the scalar product in $\mathcal{H}_\pm$ does not depend on t , the coefficients $U_+(\nu)$ do not depend on time as well.

Below we will use a fact common to wavelet analysis techniques. The wavelet transform of any square integrable functions possesses the isometry

property [9, 10]

$$\langle f(\mathbf{r}), g(\mathbf{r}) \rangle = \frac{1}{C_\varphi} \int d\mu(\nu) F(\nu) \overline{G(\nu)}. \quad (25)$$

Here f, g are some square integrable functions, and F, G are their wavelet transforms. If functions are solutions of the wave equation, they depend on the time t as a parameter.

We apply (25) for two solutions $u_+(\mathbf{r}, t)$, $v_+(\mathbf{r}, t)$ taken at one and the same fixed moment. We can write

$$\langle u_+, v_+ \rangle = \frac{1}{C_\varphi^+} \int d\mu(\nu) U_+(\nu) \overline{V_+(\nu)}, \quad \forall u_+, v_+ \in \mathcal{H}_+, \quad (26)$$

$$\int d\mu(\nu) = \int_0^{2\pi} d\vartheta_1 \int_0^\pi d\vartheta_2 \sin \vartheta_2 \int_0^\infty \frac{da}{a^4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} d^3\mathbf{b}. \quad (27)$$

This property extends to any other moment of time, because neither $U_+(\nu)$, $V_+(\nu)$ nor the scalar product of u_+ and v_+ depend on the time t . The isometry property implies the reconstruction formula

$$u_+(\mathbf{r}, t) = \frac{1}{C_\varphi^+} \int d\mu(\nu) U_+(\nu) \varphi_+^\nu(\mathbf{r}, t), \quad (28)$$

which holds in the weak sense for any $u_+ \in \mathcal{H}_+$. The coefficients $U_+(\nu)$ do not depend on $\mathbf{r}$ and t and thus the formula (28) has the meaning of a superposition of elementary solutions $\varphi_+^\nu(\mathbf{r}, t)$. Similar arguments can be applied to the space $\mathcal{H}_-$. The weak sense in the last formula means that we can take the L_2 inner product of u_+ and any solution $v_+ \in \mathcal{H}_+$ on the left-hand side and the inner product of φ_+^ν and v_+ on the right-hand side under the sign of integration and obtain equality. This formula can also be interpreted from the point of view of distributions of four variables (see B).

Below we show that the relation (28) is valid not only if we take the scalar product with a solution $v_+(\mathbf{r}, t)$, but also if we use a test function $\beta(\mathbf{r})$ from $\mathbb{S}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ instead of v_+ . This means that we can understand the relation (28) in the sense of distributions:

$$\langle u_+(\mathbf{r}, t), \beta(\mathbf{r}) \rangle = \frac{1}{C_\varphi^+} \int d\mu(\nu) U_+(\nu) \langle \varphi_+^\nu(\mathbf{r}, t), \beta(\mathbf{r}) \rangle, \quad (29)$$

where the function $\beta(\mathbf{r})$ is an arbitrary test function defined above.

The proof of (29) follows the line of argument given below. First we note that the inner product can be written in the form

$$\begin{aligned}\langle u_+(\mathbf{r}, t), \beta(\mathbf{r}) \rangle &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} d^3\mathbf{r} u_+(\mathbf{r}, t) \overline{\beta(\mathbf{r})} \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} d^3\mathbf{k} \widehat{u}_+(\mathbf{k}, 0) e^{-i\omega_+(\mathbf{k})t} \overline{\widehat{\beta}(\mathbf{k})} = \langle u_+(\mathbf{r}, 0), \beta_-(\mathbf{r}, t) \rangle,\end{aligned}\quad (30)$$

where

$$\beta_-(\mathbf{r}, t) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} d^3\mathbf{k} \widehat{\beta}(\mathbf{k}) e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r} + i\omega_+(\mathbf{k})t}.\quad (31)$$

The standard isometry formula (25) gives

$$\langle u_+(\mathbf{r}, 0), \beta_-(\mathbf{r}, t) \rangle = \frac{1}{C_\varphi^+} \int d\mu(\nu) U_+(\nu) \overline{B_-(\nu, t)},\quad (32)$$

where the wavelet transform of the function $\beta_-(\mathbf{r}, t)$ can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}B_-(\nu, t) &\equiv \langle \beta_-(\mathbf{r}, t), \varphi_+^\nu(\mathbf{r}, 0) \rangle \\ &= \langle \beta(\mathbf{r}), \varphi_+^\nu(\mathbf{r}, t) \rangle = \overline{\langle \varphi_+^\nu(\mathbf{r}, t), \beta(\mathbf{r}) \rangle}.\end{aligned}\quad (33)$$

Substituting (33) into (32), we obtain (29).

Moreover a relation similar to (29) but containing the derivatives in time is valid:

$$\frac{d^k \langle u_+(\mathbf{r}, t), \beta(\mathbf{r}) \rangle}{dt^k} = \frac{1}{C_\varphi^+} \int d\mu(\nu) U_+(\nu) \frac{d^k \langle \varphi_+^\nu(\mathbf{r}, t), \beta(\mathbf{r}) \rangle}{dt^k},\quad (34)$$

where k is any integer. We take the relation (30) as a starting point and differentiate it under the sign of integral k times. For $\beta \in \mathbb{S}$ we obtain

$$\frac{d^k \langle u_+(\mathbf{r}, t), \beta(\mathbf{r}) \rangle}{dt^k} = \left\langle u_+(\mathbf{r}, 0), \frac{d^k \beta_-(\mathbf{r}, t)}{dt^k} \right\rangle.\quad (35)$$

The isometry property (25) results in

$$\left\langle u_+(\mathbf{r}, 0), \frac{d^k \beta_-(\mathbf{r}, t)}{dt^k} \right\rangle = \frac{1}{C_\varphi^+} \int d\mu(\nu) U_+(\nu) \overline{B_-^{(k)}(\nu, t)},\quad (36)$$

where the wavelet transform $B_-^{(k)}$ can be written in the form

$$\begin{aligned} B_-^{(k)}(\nu, t) &\equiv \left\langle \frac{d^k \beta_-(\mathbf{r}, t)}{dt^k}, \varphi_+^\nu(\mathbf{r}, 0) \right\rangle \\ &= \frac{d^k \langle \beta_-(\mathbf{r}, t), \varphi_+^\nu(\mathbf{r}, 0) \rangle}{dt^k} = \frac{d^k \langle \beta(\mathbf{r}), \varphi_+^\nu(\mathbf{r}, t) \rangle}{dt^k}. \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

Substituting (37) into (36), we obtain (34). This means that if the function φ is a solution of (29), then the integral is also a solution in the sense of distributions.

3.3 Simplifications and generalizations of the integral formula for solutions

The number of parameters in the family of solutions can be reduced and the formulas (22) - (28) can be simplified if the mother wavelet φ_+ is a spherically symmetric one. Then the set of parameters reduces to $\nu = (a, \mathbf{b})$. The admissibility condition (21) takes a simpler form:

$$C_\varphi^+ \equiv 4\pi \int_0^\infty dk \frac{|\widehat{\varphi}_+(k, 0)|^2}{k} < \infty, \quad k = |\mathbf{k}|. \quad (38)$$

The family of wavelets reads

$$\varphi_+^{a, \mathbf{b}}(\mathbf{r}, t) \equiv \frac{1}{a^{3/2}} \varphi_+ \left(\frac{\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{b}}{a}, \frac{t}{a} \right), \quad (39)$$

and the representation has the form

$$u_+(\mathbf{r}, t) = \frac{4\pi}{C_\varphi^+} \int_0^\infty \frac{da}{a^4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} d^3 \mathbf{b} U_+(a, \mathbf{b}) \varphi_+^{a, \mathbf{b}}(\mathbf{r}, t). \quad (40)$$

Although this particular case of analysis is the simplest one, it is blind to directional properties of wave fields, and this may be inconvenient for practical purposes.

The most general case occurs when the mother wavelet φ possesses no symmetry at all. Then we should use the full set of three Euler angles ϑ_1, ϑ_2

and ϑ_3 to determine rotations of the mother wavelet in a three-dimensional space. The set of parameters now reads $\tilde{\nu} = (a, \mathbf{b}, \vartheta_1, \vartheta_2, \vartheta_3)$. The rotation matrix now has the form

$$M_{\vartheta_1 \vartheta_2 \vartheta_3} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \vartheta_1 & -\sin \vartheta_1 & 0 \\ \sin \vartheta_1 & \cos \vartheta_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cos \vartheta_2 & -\sin \vartheta_2 \\ 0 & \sin \vartheta_2 & \cos \vartheta_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \cos \vartheta_3 & -\sin \vartheta_3 & 0 \\ \sin \vartheta_3 & \cos \vartheta_3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (41)$$

and the reconstruction formula reads

$$u_+(\mathbf{r}, t) = \frac{1}{C_\varphi^+} \int_0^{2\pi} d\vartheta_1 \int_0^\pi d\vartheta_2 \sin \vartheta_2 \int_0^{2\pi} d\vartheta_3 \int_0^\infty \frac{da}{a^4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} d^3 \mathbf{b} U_+(\tilde{\nu}) \varphi_+^{\tilde{\nu}}(\mathbf{r}, t). \quad (42)$$

Another generalization of the reconstruction formulas is associated with the possibility of using different mother wavelets in calculating wavelet transforms $U_+(\nu)$, $V_+(\nu)$ (see [9], [10]). Let $\psi_+(\mathbf{r}, t)$, $\chi_+(\mathbf{r}, t) \in \mathcal{H}_+$. Upon constructing families of wavelets ψ_+^ν , χ_+^ν similarly to (22), we calculate wavelet transforms in the form

$$U_+(\nu) \equiv \langle u_+, \psi_+^\nu \rangle, \quad V_+(\nu) \equiv \langle v_+, \chi_+^\nu \rangle. \quad (43)$$

The isometry property is valid in this case as well, but instead of the constant C_φ^+ in the formula (26) we must take the constant

$$C_{\psi\chi}^+ \equiv \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} d^3 \mathbf{k} \frac{\overline{\widehat{\psi}_+(\mathbf{k}, 0)} \widehat{\chi}_+(\mathbf{k}, 0)}{|\mathbf{k}|^3}. \quad (44)$$

Then we obtain the reconstruction formula

$$u_+(\mathbf{r}, t) = \frac{1}{C_{\psi\chi}^+} \int d\mu(\nu) U_+(\nu) \chi_+^\nu(\mathbf{r}, t), \quad (45)$$

which holds at least in the weak sense and in the sense of distributions. If $t = 0$, then the formula (45) is a well-known wavelet reconstruction formula (see [9], [10]). The formulas (40) and (42) can be generalized in a similar way.

3.4 Initial-value problem for the wave equation

Since each solution of the wave equation from $\mathcal{H}_\pm$ can easily be expressed in terms of its initial-value problem, it is useful to obtain formulas for the solution of the initial-value problem in terms of localized solutions. These formulas will allow one to avoid splitting the solution u into its positive-frequency and negative-frequency parts u_+ and u_- , respectively, in order to calculate the coefficients of the decomposition. The splitting requires an additional operation - taking the Fourier transform of the solution, which is not convenient in some cases. Consider the following initial-value problem:

$$\begin{cases} u_{tt} - c^2(u_{xx} + u_{yy} + u_{zz}) = 0, \\ u|_{t=0} = w(\mathbf{r}), \quad \left. \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right|_{t=0} = v(\mathbf{r}), \end{cases} \quad (46)$$

$$w(\mathbf{r}) \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^3), \quad v(\mathbf{r}) \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^3).$$

We seek a solution of the form

$$u(\mathbf{r}, t) = \frac{1}{C_\varphi^+} \int d\mu(\nu) U_+(\nu) \varphi_+^\nu(\mathbf{r}, t) + \frac{1}{C_\varphi^-} \int d\mu(\nu) U_-(\nu) \varphi_-^\nu(\mathbf{r}, t). \quad (47)$$

In this section, we construct the solution formally. We will justify this solution in the sense of distributions in the next subsection.

We need to find the decomposition coefficient $U_\pm(\nu)$. The expression (24) for $U_\pm$ requires u_+ and u_- or their Fourier transforms. We obtain $\hat{u}_\pm$ from the initial-value data. To find an idea for this, we use a well-known Fourier formula for a solution of this initial-value problem. It reads

$$\begin{aligned} u(\mathbf{r}, t) = & \frac{1}{2(2\pi)^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} d^3\mathbf{k} \left[\hat{w}(\mathbf{k}) - \frac{1}{ic|\mathbf{k}|} \hat{v}(\mathbf{k}) \right] \exp(i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r} - i|\mathbf{k}|ct) \\ & + \frac{1}{2(2\pi)^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} d^3\mathbf{k} \left[\hat{w}(\mathbf{k}) + \frac{1}{ic|\mathbf{k}|} \hat{v}(\mathbf{k}) \right] \exp(i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r} + i|\mathbf{k}|ct). \end{aligned} \quad (48)$$

This formula yields

$$\hat{u}_+(\mathbf{k}, 0) = \frac{1}{2} \left[\hat{w}(\mathbf{k}) - \frac{1}{ic|\mathbf{k}|} \hat{v}(\mathbf{k}) \right], \quad \hat{u}_-(\mathbf{k}, 0) = \frac{1}{2} \left[\hat{w}(\mathbf{k}) + \frac{1}{ic|\mathbf{k}|} \hat{v}(\mathbf{k}) \right]. \quad (49)$$

Substituting $\widehat{u}_\pm$ from (49) into (24), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} U_\pm(\nu) &= \frac{1}{2(2\pi)^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} d^3\mathbf{k} \, \widehat{w}(\mathbf{k}) \overline{\widehat{\varphi}_\pm^\nu(\mathbf{k}, 0)} \\ &\mp \frac{1}{2(2\pi)^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} d^3\mathbf{k} \, \frac{1}{i c |\mathbf{k}|} \widehat{v}(\mathbf{k}) \overline{\widehat{\varphi}_\pm^\nu(\mathbf{k}, 0)}. \end{aligned} \quad (50)$$

We introduce solutions $\psi_\pm \in \mathcal{H}_\pm$ of the wave equation in such a way that

$$\varphi_\pm(\mathbf{r}, t) = \pm \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \psi_\pm(\mathbf{r}, t), \quad \widehat{\varphi}_\pm(\mathbf{k}, 0) = -i c |\mathbf{k}| \widehat{\psi}_\pm(\mathbf{k}, 0). \quad (51)$$

Families of solutions are built in the standard way:

$$\psi_\pm^\nu(\mathbf{r}, t) \equiv \frac{1}{a^{3/2}} \psi_\pm \left(M_{\vartheta_1 \vartheta_2}^{-1} \frac{\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{b}}{a}, \frac{t}{a} \right), \quad \nu = (a, \mathbf{b}, \vartheta_1, \vartheta_2), \quad (52)$$

then

$$\varphi_\pm^\nu(\mathbf{r}, t) = \pm a \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \psi_\pm^\nu(\mathbf{r}, t), \quad \widehat{\varphi}_\pm^\nu(\mathbf{k}, 0) = -i c a |\mathbf{k}| \widehat{\psi}_\pm^\nu(\mathbf{k}, 0). \quad (53)$$

The formula (50) in the new notation reads

$$\begin{aligned} U_\pm(\nu) &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} d^3\mathbf{r} \, w(\mathbf{r}) \overline{\varphi_\pm^\nu(\mathbf{r}, 0)} \mp \frac{a}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} d^3\mathbf{r} \, v(\mathbf{r}) \overline{\psi_\pm^\nu(\mathbf{r}, 0)} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} W_\pm(\nu) \mp \frac{a}{2} V_\pm(\nu), \end{aligned} \quad (54)$$

where the capital letters $W_\pm$ and $V_\pm$ denote the wavelet transforms of w and v with respect to wavelets $\varphi_\pm$ and $\psi_\pm$, respectively:

$$W_\pm(\nu) = \langle w(\mathbf{r}), \varphi_\pm^\nu(\mathbf{r}, 0) \rangle, \quad V_\pm(\nu) = \langle v(\mathbf{r}), \psi_\pm^\nu(\mathbf{r}, 0) \rangle. \quad (55)$$

Now the reconstruction formula of the form (47) for the sum of u_+ and u_- reads:

$$\begin{aligned} u(\mathbf{r}, t) &= \frac{1}{C_\varphi^+} \int d\mu(\nu) \left[\frac{1}{2} W_+(\nu) - \frac{a}{2} V_+(\nu) \right] \varphi_+^\nu(\mathbf{r}, t) \\ &+ \frac{1}{C_\varphi^-} \int d\mu(\nu) \left[\frac{1}{2} W_-(\nu) + \frac{a}{2} V_-(\nu) \right] \varphi_-^\nu(\mathbf{r}, t). \end{aligned} \quad (56)$$

3.5 Justification of results

In this subsection, we show that the formal solution (56) can be understood at least in the sense of distribution. This means that we understand the wave equation (46) in the sense (29) and we state the initial conditions in the form

$$\langle u(\mathbf{r}, 0), \beta(\mathbf{r}) \rangle = \langle w(\mathbf{r}), \beta(\mathbf{r}) \rangle, \quad \left. \frac{d\langle u(\mathbf{r}, t), \beta(\mathbf{r}) \rangle}{dt} \right|_{t=0} = \langle v(\mathbf{r}), \beta(\mathbf{r}) \rangle. \quad (57)$$

We use the fact that $u(\mathbf{r}, t) \rightarrow u(\mathbf{r}, 0)$ in the L_2 sense as $t \rightarrow 0$. This allows us to write $\langle u(\mathbf{r}, 0), \beta(\mathbf{r}) \rangle$ instead of $\langle u(\mathbf{r}, t), \beta(\mathbf{r}) \rangle|_{t=0}$. However we cannot write $\langle \partial u(\mathbf{r}, t)/\partial t, \beta(\mathbf{r}) \rangle$ in the sense of a scalar product in L_2 , because the function $\partial u(\mathbf{r}, t)/\partial t$ in general does not belong to L_2 and belongs to the class of distributions. Instead, we use the fact that the scalar product $\langle u(\mathbf{r}, t), \beta(\mathbf{r}) \rangle$ can be differentiated with respect to t even if the solution $u(\mathbf{r}, t)$ itself is not differentiable (see [23]). This allows us to pose correctly the initial value problem even for nonclassical solutions. If the functions $\varphi_{\pm}$ are solutions of the wave equation in the sense of (29), the integral in (56) is also a solution of the wave equation in the sense of distributions, as was shown in Section 3.2. Now we show that $u(\mathbf{r}, t)$ defined by (56) also satisfies the initial conditions (57).

It is useful to take into consideration the auxiliary solution $\chi_{\pm}(\mathbf{r}, t) \in \mathcal{H}_{\pm}$,

$$\chi_{\pm}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \pm \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \varphi_{\pm}(\mathbf{r}, t), \quad \widehat{\chi}_{\pm}(\mathbf{k}, 0) = -i c |\mathbf{k}| \widehat{\varphi}_{\pm}(\mathbf{k}, 0). \quad (58)$$

The family of solutions $\chi_{\pm}^{\nu}$, constructed by analogy with (52), satisfies the relations

$$\chi_{\pm}^{\nu}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \pm a \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \varphi_{\pm}^{\nu}(\mathbf{r}, t), \quad \widehat{\chi}_{\pm}^{\nu}(\mathbf{k}, 0) = -i c a |\mathbf{k}| \widehat{\varphi}_{\pm}^{\nu}(\mathbf{k}, 0). \quad (59)$$

First we consider the simplest case where $\varphi_{-}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \varphi_{+}(\mathbf{r}, -t)$, $\varphi_{+}(\mathbf{r}, t) \equiv \varphi(\mathbf{r}, t)$. Then $C_{\varphi} \equiv C_{\varphi}^{+} = C_{\varphi}^{-}$, $W_{+} = W_{-} \equiv W$, $V_{+} = V_{-} \equiv V$. We fix the time t equal to zero and, upon regrouping the terms, obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \langle u(\mathbf{r}, 0), \beta(\mathbf{r}) \rangle &= \frac{1}{2C_{\varphi}} \int d\mu(\nu) [W(\nu) + W(\nu)] \langle \varphi^{\nu}(\mathbf{r}, 0), \beta(\mathbf{r}) \rangle \\ &+ \frac{1}{2C_{\varphi}} \int d\mu(\nu) [-aV(\nu) + aV(\nu)] \langle \varphi^{\nu}(\mathbf{r}, 0), \beta(\mathbf{r}) \rangle = \langle w(\mathbf{r}), \beta(\mathbf{r}) \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (60)$$

Here we used the isometry property (25) for $w(\mathbf{r})$ and $\beta(\mathbf{r})$. Next we consider the time derivative at the moment of time $t = 0$. We note that $\varphi_t^\nu(\mathbf{r}, t)|_{t=0} = -\varphi_t^\nu(\mathbf{r}, -t)|_{t=0}$. Upon regrouping the terms, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left. \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \langle u(\mathbf{r}, t), \beta(\mathbf{r}) \rangle \right|_{t=0} &= \frac{1}{2C_\varphi} \int d\mu(\nu) W(\nu) \left[\left. \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \langle \varphi^\nu(\mathbf{r}, t), \beta(\mathbf{r}) \rangle \right|_{t=0} \right. \\ &+ \left. \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \langle \varphi^\nu(\mathbf{r}, -t), \beta(\mathbf{r}) \rangle \right|_{t=0} \Big] - \frac{1}{2C_\varphi} \int d\mu(\nu) aV(\nu) \left[\left. \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \langle \varphi^\nu(\mathbf{r}, t), \beta(\mathbf{r}) \rangle \right|_{t=0} \right. \\ &- \left. \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \langle \varphi^\nu(\mathbf{r}, -t), \beta(\mathbf{r}) \rangle \right|_{t=0} \Big] = -\frac{1}{C_\varphi} \int d\mu(\nu) aV(\nu) \left. \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \langle \varphi^\nu(\mathbf{r}, t), \beta(\mathbf{r}) \rangle \right|_{t=0} \\ &= \frac{1}{C_{\psi_\chi}} \int d\mu(\nu) V(\nu) \langle \chi^\nu(\mathbf{r}, 0), \beta(\mathbf{r}) \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (61)$$

Here we use the fact that

$$\left. \frac{d}{dt} \langle \varphi^\nu(\mathbf{r}, t), \beta(\mathbf{r}) \rangle \right|_{t=0} = \left\langle \left. \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \varphi^\nu(\mathbf{r}, t), \beta(\mathbf{r}) \right\rangle \right|_{t=0} = \langle \chi^\nu(\mathbf{r}, 0), \beta(\mathbf{r}) \rangle,$$

which follows from the Plancherel equality and the fact that $\partial\varphi^\nu/\partial t \equiv \chi^\nu$ is square integrable in $\mathbf{r}$. The last-mentioned integral gives us the function $\langle v(\mathbf{r}), \beta(\mathbf{r}) \rangle$ in accordance with the formulas (45). The constant C_{ψ_χ} can be calculated, using (51) and (58); $C_{\psi_\chi} = -C_\varphi$.

Now we consider the general case where $\varphi_+(\mathbf{r}, 0) \neq \varphi_-(\mathbf{r}, 0)$. We understand all the relations below in the sense of (29) and (34) and their analogs in $\mathcal{H}_-$. In contrast to the previous case, we cannot regroup terms in such a way that the expressions under the sign of integration cancel. We must show instead that the integrals cancel. First for the time $t = 0$ the integrals in (56) multiplied by $\beta(\mathbf{r})$ containing terms $W_+(\nu)/2$ and $W_-(\nu)/2$ yield the product $\langle w(\mathbf{r}), \beta(\mathbf{r}) \rangle$ by a wavelet inverse transform formula (the formula (45) for $t = 0$). We show that other two integrals containing $aV_+(\nu)\langle\varphi_+^\nu(\mathbf{r}, 0), \beta(\mathbf{r})\rangle/2$ and $-aV_-(\nu)\langle\varphi_-^\nu(\mathbf{r}, 0), \beta(\mathbf{r})\rangle/2$ cancel and provide the zero sum. Taking into account the formulas (59), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} a\langle\varphi_+^\nu(\mathbf{r}, 0), \beta(\mathbf{r})\rangle &= \frac{a}{(2\pi)^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} d^3\mathbf{k} \overline{\widehat{\beta}(\mathbf{k})} \widehat{\varphi}_+^\nu(\mathbf{k}, 0) \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} d^3\mathbf{k} \overline{\left(\frac{a\widehat{\beta}(\mathbf{k})}{i c a |\mathbf{k}|} \right)} \widehat{\chi}_+^\nu(\mathbf{k}, 0) = \overline{\widehat{B}_+(\nu)}, \end{aligned} \quad (62)$$

where $\tilde{B}_+(\nu)$ is the wavelet transform of a certain function $\tilde{\beta}(\mathbf{r})$ that has the Fourier transform of the form $\widehat{\beta}(\mathbf{k})/ic|\mathbf{k}|$:

$$\tilde{\beta}(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} d^3\mathbf{k} \frac{\widehat{\beta}(\mathbf{k})}{ic|\mathbf{k}|} \exp(-i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}). \quad (63)$$

The singularity at the point $\mathbf{k} = 0$ is integrable because the function $\beta(\mathbf{r}) \in \mathbb{S}$, and thus $\widehat{\beta}(\mathbf{k})$ is continuous. On applying the isometry relation to the integral containing $aV_+(\nu)/2$ in (56), we obtain

$$\frac{1}{C_\varphi^+} \int d\mu(\nu) \frac{a}{2} V_+(\nu) \langle \varphi_+^\nu(\mathbf{r}, 0), \beta(\mathbf{r}) \rangle = -\frac{1}{2} \langle v(\mathbf{r}, 0), \tilde{\beta}(\mathbf{r}) \rangle. \quad (64)$$

Here we use the fact that $C_{\psi\chi}^+ = -C_\varphi^+$ by the definitions of ψ and χ in (51) and (58). The integral containing $aV_-(\nu)/2$ gives us the same term but with an opposite sign. Their sum is equal to zero; then the formula (56) for $t = 0$ provides $\langle w(\mathbf{r}), \beta(\mathbf{r}) \rangle$.

Now we calculate the time derivative of the expression (56) multiplied by $\beta(\mathbf{r})$ at the moment of time $t = 0$. Here the sum of terms containing $aV_+(\nu)/2$ and $-aV_-(\nu)/2$ yields $\langle v(\mathbf{r}), \beta(\mathbf{r}) \rangle$ for the same reasons as those concerning the formula (61). For example, in the positive-frequency case we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{C_\varphi^+} \int d\mu(\nu) aV_+(\nu) \left\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \varphi_+^\nu(\mathbf{r}, t) \Big|_{t=0}, \beta(\mathbf{r}) \right\rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{C_\varphi^+} \int d\mu(\nu) V_+(\nu) \langle \chi_+^\nu(\mathbf{r}, 0), \beta(\mathbf{r}) \rangle = \langle v(\mathbf{r}), \beta(\mathbf{r}) \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (65)$$

Next we show that the sum of integrals containing $W_\pm(\nu)/2$ is equal to zero:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2C_\varphi^+} \int d\mu(\nu) W_+(\nu) \left\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \varphi_+^\nu(\mathbf{r}, t) \Big|_{t=0}, \beta(\mathbf{r}) \right\rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{2C_\varphi^+} \int d\mu(\nu) W_+(\nu) \frac{\langle \chi_+^\nu(\mathbf{r}, 0), \beta(\mathbf{r}) \rangle}{a}. \end{aligned}$$

By analogy with (62), we have

$$\frac{\langle \chi_+^\nu(\mathbf{r}, 0), \beta(\mathbf{r}) \rangle}{a} = -\frac{1}{a(2\pi)^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} d^3\mathbf{k} ic a |\mathbf{k}| \widehat{\varphi}_+^\nu(\mathbf{k}, 0) \overline{\widehat{\beta}(\mathbf{k})}$$

$$= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} d^3 \mathbf{k} \, \widehat{\varphi}_+^\nu(\mathbf{k}, 0) \overline{i c |\mathbf{k}| \widehat{\beta}(\mathbf{k})} = \overline{\check{B}_+(\nu)}, \quad (66)$$

where $\check{B}_+(\nu)$ is the wavelet transform of a function $\check{\beta}(\mathbf{r})$ that has the Fourier transform of the form $\widehat{\beta}(\mathbf{k}) i c |\mathbf{k}|$:

$$\check{\beta}(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} d^3 \mathbf{k} \, \widehat{\beta}(\mathbf{k}) i c |\mathbf{k}| \exp(-i \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}). \quad (67)$$

The integral containing $W_+(\nu)$ in (56) multiplied by β is equal to half the scalar product $\langle w(\mathbf{r}), \beta(\mathbf{r}) \rangle$. The integral containing $W_-(\nu)$ and multiplied by β gives the same term $\langle w(\mathbf{r}), \check{\beta}(\mathbf{r}) \rangle / 2$ but with an opposite sign. Then their sum is equal to zero and the time derivative of the expression (56) multiplied by β taken at the moment $t = 0$ is equal to the function $\langle v(\mathbf{r}), \beta(\mathbf{r}) \rangle$. Then the formula (56) actually yields a solution of the initial-value problem (46). Since each solution of the wave equation from $\mathcal{H}$ can be represented in terms of its initial-value problem data, the integral representation is valid for any solution $u \in \mathcal{H}$.

3.6 Comparison with the results of Kaiser

We compare our formulas (22) - (42) with the results obtained by G. Kaiser in [14]. He defines the coefficients of the decomposition in terms of the analytic-signal transform (AST) (91) of the solution under consideration. Further the AST of the solution is regarded in a spatial frequency domain and is interpreted as the scalar product (92) of this solution and the wavelet (94). Therefore the formula for this wavelet is strictly determined by the expression for the AST. The parameter $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^3$ of the AST (91) has the meaning of translation and the parameter $s \in \mathbb{R}$, $s \neq 0$, has the meaning of dilation. No rotation is used, owing to the spherical symmetry of the wavelet (74), (94). The representation formula (93) obtained by Kaiser then coincides, up to notation and normalization, with our special formula (40). It should also be noted that Kaiser uses another norm (90) and do not decompose the whole space $\mathcal{H}$ into $\mathcal{H}_\pm$ explicitly.

The main difference between our and Kaiser's approaches is that we start our definitions with the decomposition of the space $\mathcal{H}$ into $\mathcal{H}_+$ and $\mathcal{H}_-$ and the introduction of time-independent scalar products in each of them, instead of using AST. This allows us to choose a mother wavelet from the wide class (21) rather than the from fixed one (94) used by G. Kaiser [14].

4 Some examples of physical wavelets

As is clearly seen from Section 3.1, we can construct the physical wavelet for $\mathcal{H}_\pm$ just by choosing an arbitrary square integrable function $\hat{\varphi}_\pm(\mathbf{k})$ of three variables $\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{R}^3$ having a root of any order at the point $\mathbf{k} = 0$, then by multiplying it by the time-dependent exponent $\exp(\mp i|\mathbf{k}|ct)$, and by taking the Fourier inverse transform with respect to the spatial frequency coordinates $\mathbf{k}$. This provides admissible physical wavelets in $\mathcal{H}_\pm$. However, in practice we possibly will be unable to take the integral analytically and find an exact formula for the wavelet φ in the position space. There are several practical methods that allow one to obtain an exact solution of the wave equation directly in the position space, without integrating over the whole $\mathbb{R}^3$. These methods were originated in papers [26]-[30] (see [31] for a review of such methods). The aim of this section is to look at some of these methods from the point of view of physical wavelets. We find conditions for these solutions to be admissible physical wavelets.

4.1 Spherically symmetric mother wavelets

G. Kaiser uses in [14] the following method for constructing his physical wavelet. He considers two solutions of the inhomogeneous wave equation, namely, the emitted wave $\mathbf{e}(\mathbf{r}, t)$ and the absorbed wave $\mathbf{a}(\mathbf{r}, t)$:

$$\left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} - c^2 \Delta\right) \mathbf{e}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \phi(ct) \delta(\mathbf{r}), \quad \mathbf{e}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \frac{1}{4\pi c^2} \frac{\phi(ct - |\mathbf{r}|)}{|\mathbf{r}|}, \quad (68)$$

$$\left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} - c^2 \Delta\right) \mathbf{a}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \phi(ct) \delta(\mathbf{r}), \quad \mathbf{a}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \frac{1}{4\pi c^2} \frac{\phi(ct + |\mathbf{r}|)}{|\mathbf{r}|}, \quad (69)$$

where ϕ is a function of the time t . These solutions are spherically symmetric and have singularities at the origin $\mathbf{r} = 0$. The solution $\mathbf{e}(\mathbf{r}, t)$ has the meaning of a wave emitted by a point source at the origin, and the solution $\mathbf{a}(\mathbf{r}, t)$ has the meaning of a solution absorbed by a point source. The difference between these two functions

$$\varphi(\mathbf{r}, t) = \mathbf{a}(\mathbf{r}, t) - \mathbf{e}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \frac{1}{4\pi c^2 |\mathbf{r}|} [\phi(ct + |\mathbf{r}|) - \phi(ct - |\mathbf{r}|)] \quad (70)$$

is a solution of the homogeneous wave equation (15). Upon subtraction, the singularity at the origin $\mathbf{r} = 0$ cancels. The function $\phi(ct)$ was called by G. Kaiser in [14] a '*proxy wavelet*'.

We find conditions that should be applied to the class of proxy wavelets ϕ in order to obtain admissible physical wavelets. The Fourier transform of the solution $\varphi(\mathbf{r}, t)$ (70) can be calculated exactly. It reads

$$\widehat{\varphi}(\mathbf{k}, t) = -\frac{\widehat{\phi}(|\mathbf{k}|)}{2i|\mathbf{k}|c^2} \exp(i|\mathbf{k}|ct) + \frac{\widehat{\phi}(-|\mathbf{k}|)}{2i|\mathbf{k}|c^2} \exp(-i|\mathbf{k}|ct), \quad (71)$$

where $\widehat{\phi}(\pm|\mathbf{k}|)$ is the Fourier transform of the function $\phi(t)$ taken at the point $\pm|\mathbf{k}|$. This formula shows that the Fourier transform $\widehat{\varphi}(\mathbf{k}, t)$ splits into two terms, depending on $\exp(-i|\mathbf{k}|ct)$ and $\exp(i|\mathbf{k}|ct)$.

We stress here that if we choose a progressive proxy wavelet $\phi(t)$, i.e., $\widehat{\phi}(\xi) \equiv 0$ for $\xi < 0$, the wavelet φ constructed will belong to $\mathcal{H}_-$ only, and it can be marked with subscript $-$, i.e. $\varphi(\mathbf{r}, t) = \varphi_-(\mathbf{r}, t)$. The second wavelet $\varphi_+ \in \mathcal{H}_+$ in that case can be obtained from φ_- by changing the sign of the time variable t . The admissibility condition (38) then can be stated in terms of the proxy wavelet ϕ in the way

$$C_\varphi^- = \frac{\pi}{c^4} \int_0^{+\infty} dk \frac{|\widehat{\phi}(k)|^2}{k^3} < \infty. \quad (72)$$

The physical wavelet (94) introduced by Kaiser in [14] was interpreted also as a solution derived from the following proxy wavelet:

$$\phi(t) = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha)}{\pi(1 - it)^\alpha}, \quad \widehat{\phi}(\xi) = 2\Theta(\xi) \xi^{\alpha-1} \exp(-\xi), \quad (73)$$

where Θ is the Heaviside step function. In the position space, this wavelet has the form

$$\varphi_+(\mathbf{r}, t) = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha)}{4\pi^2 c^2 |\mathbf{r}|} \left[\frac{1}{[1 - i(ct + |\mathbf{r}|)]^\alpha} - \frac{1}{[1 - i(ct - |\mathbf{r}|)]^\alpha} \right]. \quad (74)$$

Since the proxy wavelet $\phi(t)$ can be chosen from a wide class of functions, we can obtain other spherically symmetric physical wavelets with better properties from the point of view of wavelet analysis. To show this, we provide here another example of spherically symmetric physical wavelet, which has, in contrast to that suggested by G. Kaiser, an exponential decay and an infinite number of zero moments. This example of a solution first appeared in [32], and in [18] was first regarded as a mother wavelet. It can also be

interpreted in terms of a field of two point sources (68), (69) with the proxy wavelet

$$\phi(t) = \exp\left(-2\sqrt{1-it}\right). \quad (75)$$

The branch of the square root with positive real part is implied here and below. The difference between the absorbed and emitted waves can be taken as a mother wavelet in $\mathcal{H}_-$ and has the form

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_-(\mathbf{r}, t) = \frac{1}{4\pi c^2 |\mathbf{r}|} \Big\{ \exp\left[-2\sqrt{1-i(ct+|\mathbf{r}|)}\right] \\ - \exp\left[-2\sqrt{1-i(ct-|\mathbf{r}|)}\right] \Big\}. \end{aligned} \quad (76)$$

The Fourier transform $\widehat{\varphi}_-(\mathbf{k}, t)$ reads

$$\widehat{\varphi}_-(\mathbf{k}, 0) = \frac{i\sqrt{\pi}}{c^2} |\mathbf{k}|^{-5/2} \exp\left[-|\mathbf{k}| - \frac{1}{|\mathbf{k}|}\right]. \quad (77)$$

The coefficient C_φ^- (38) for the wavelet φ_- can be calculated exactly:

$$C_\varphi^- = 4\pi \int_0^\infty dk \frac{|\widehat{\varphi}_-(k, 0)|^2}{k} = \frac{8\pi^2}{c^4} K_5(4) < \infty, \quad (78)$$

where $K_5(4)$ is a McDonald's function [33] of order 5. The Fourier transform of this wavelet has a root of infinite order at the origin $\mathbf{k} = 0$ owing to the factor $\exp(-1/|\mathbf{k}|)$ and the wavelet itself has an infinite number of zero moments. The wavelet φ_- has a spherical symmetry and an exponential decay away from the circle where $|\mathbf{r}| = ct$.

4.2 Nonsymmetric mother wavelets

We discuss here the construction of nonsymmetric solutions of the wave equation (15) following papers [29, 30]. The method is based on the summation of well-known nonstationary Gaussian beams [34, 35] multiplied by a weight function $\widehat{\phi}(q)$:

$$\varphi(\mathbf{r}, t) = \int_0^{+\infty} dq \widehat{\phi}(q) \varphi_{\text{beam}}(q, \mathbf{r}, t), \quad (79)$$

$$\varphi_{\text{beam}}(q, \mathbf{r}, t) = \frac{\exp[iq\theta(\mathbf{r}, t)]}{\sqrt{x+ct-i\varepsilon_1}\sqrt{x+ct-i\varepsilon_2}}, \quad q > 0, \quad (80)$$

$$\theta(\mathbf{r}, t) = x - ct + \frac{y^2}{x+ct-i\varepsilon_1} + \frac{z^2}{x+ct-i\varepsilon_2},$$

where ε_1 and ε_2 are free positive parameters. If $\widehat{\phi}(q) \equiv 0$, $q < 0$, the formula (79) has the meaning of a Fourier inverse transform and can be written in a simpler form

$$\varphi(\mathbf{r}, t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{x+ct-i\varepsilon_1}\sqrt{x+ct-i\varepsilon_2}} \phi[\theta(\mathbf{r}, t)], \quad (81)$$

where ϕ is a Fourier inverse transform of $\widehat{\phi}$. The function ϕ then can be named a 'proxy wavelet' following the terminology of G. Kaiser. The formula (81) is a special case of the class of solutions presented by Bateman in [26, 27] and further developed by Hillion in [28]. Now we determine the class of proxy wavelets ϕ that produce admissible physical wavelets. The Fourier transform of a solution $\varphi(\mathbf{r}, t)$ defined by (81) reads

$$\widehat{\varphi}(\mathbf{k}, t) = 2\pi^2 i \widehat{\phi} \left(\frac{k_x + |\mathbf{k}|}{2} \right) \frac{1}{|\mathbf{k}|} \exp \left[-i|\mathbf{k}|ct - \frac{k_y^2 \varepsilon_1 + k_z^2 \varepsilon_2}{2(k_x + |\mathbf{k}|)} \right], \quad (82)$$

$$\mathbf{k} = (k_x, k_y, k_z).$$

Substituting this expression into the formula for the coefficient C_φ defined by (21), we conclude that $\widehat{\phi}(q)$ must have a root of order at least $1 + \alpha$, $\alpha > 0$, at the origin $q = 0$. We also restrict the class of admissible proxy wavelet to the class $L_1(\mathbb{R}) \cap L_2(\mathbb{R})$.

A special case of solutions of the class (81) named the Gaussian wave packet was found in [19, 20] and studied in [22]:

$$\varphi(\mathbf{r}, t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{x+ct-i\varepsilon_1}\sqrt{x+ct-i\varepsilon_2}} \exp \left[-p \sqrt{1 - \frac{i\theta(\mathbf{r}, t)}{\gamma}} \right], \quad (83)$$

where p and γ are free positive parameters. This solution can be obtained from (81) by using the following proxy wavelet:

$$\phi(t) = \exp \left[-p \sqrt{1 - \frac{it}{\gamma}} \right]. \quad (84)$$

The Fourier transform of the Gaussian packet (83) due to (82) and (84) has the form

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\varphi}(\mathbf{k}, t) = & i(2\pi)^{3/2} \frac{p}{\sqrt{\gamma}} \frac{1}{|\mathbf{k}|(|\mathbf{k}| + k_x)^{3/2}} \\ & \times \exp \left[-\frac{|\mathbf{k}| + k_x}{2} \gamma - \frac{p^2}{2\gamma} \frac{1}{|\mathbf{k}| + k_x} - \frac{k_y^2 \varepsilon_1 + k_z^2 \varepsilon_2}{2(k_x + |\mathbf{k}|)} - i|\mathbf{k}|ct \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (85)$$

This physical wavelet has an exponential decay away from the moving point $x = ct, y = 0, z = 0$. It has infinitely many zero moments with respect to spatial coordinates. As is shown in [22], its asymptotics coincides with the Morlet wavelet [9, 10] as $p \rightarrow \infty$ and the time t is fixed:

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(\mathbf{r}, t) = & \frac{C}{(-i\varepsilon_1)^{1/2}(-i\varepsilon_2)^{1/2}} \exp \left[i\kappa(x - ct) - \frac{(x - ct)^2}{2\sigma_x^2} - \frac{y^2}{2\sigma_y^2} - \frac{z^2}{2\sigma_z^2} \right] \\ & \times [1 + O(p^{-3\alpha+1})], \quad \alpha \in (1/3, 1/2), \end{aligned} \quad (86)$$

where

$$\sigma_x^2 = 4\gamma^2/p, \quad \sigma_y^2 = \gamma\varepsilon_1/p, \quad \sigma_z^2 = \gamma\varepsilon_2/p, \quad \kappa = \frac{p}{2\gamma}, \quad (87)$$

in the domain

$$(x - ct)/\gamma = O(p^{-\alpha}), \quad y/\sqrt{\varepsilon_1\gamma} = O(p^{-\alpha}), \quad z/\sqrt{\varepsilon_2\gamma} = O(p^{-\alpha}), \quad (88)$$

provided that the parameters $2ct/\varepsilon_j$, $p^{-\alpha}\gamma/\varepsilon_j$, $j = 1, 2$, are small.

The axially symmetric case of the Gaussian beam (80) and the Gaussian packet (83) can be obtained by putting $\varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon_2$.

5 Conclusions

A new integral representation of solutions of the wave equation was built. It is based on mathematical methods of a continuous wavelet transform in a three-dimensional space. An arbitrary solution of the wave equation can be represented as a superposition of elementary solutions. We discussed methods of constructing these elementary solutions. Both spherically symmetric and axially symmetric elementary solutions were considered. Examples of known physical wavelets were given. Solution of the initial-value problem based on wavelet analysis was also considered. A brief comparison of the presented results with the results obtained by G. Kaiser was also carried out. The integral representation constructed in this article may be useful in real problems of wave propagation.

Acknowledgments

M.Sidorenko was supported by the Dmitry Zimin 'DYNASTY' Foundation

A The representation build by G. Kaiser

The first integral representation of the form (14) based on mathematical methods of wavelet analysis was presented by G. Kaiser in his book [14]. We give here a brief review of his results for the scalar wave equation (or acoustic equation). The results for vector Maxwell equations were also presented in [14]. In this Appendix we follow the specific notation introduced by Kaiser.

A solution of the wave equation can be represented in the following form by means of the Fourier transform:

$$F(\mathbf{x}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{d^3\mathbf{p}}{16\pi^3\omega} \left[e^{i(\omega t - \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{x})} f(\mathbf{p}, \omega) + e^{i(-\omega t - \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{x})} f(\mathbf{p}, -\omega) \right], \quad (89)$$

$$\mathbf{x} = (\mathbf{x}, t), \quad \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^3, \quad \mathbf{p} = (\mathbf{p}, p_0), \quad \mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{R}^3, \quad \omega = |\mathbf{p}|.$$

Here, in accordance with the notation by G. Kaiser, $F(\mathbf{x})$, $\mathbf{x} = (\mathbf{x}, t)$ denotes a solution of the wave equation and $f(\mathbf{p})$, $\mathbf{p} = (\mathbf{p}, p_0)$, is the Fourier transform of $F(\mathbf{x})$ taken with respect to both spatial and time coordinates, using the Lorentz-covariant scalar product $\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{x} = p_0 t - \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{x}$. Owing to the wave equation, the function $f(\mathbf{p})$ depends not on four variables $\mathbf{p}, p_0$ but on three variables $\mathbf{p}$ in the following two ways: $f(\mathbf{p}, |\mathbf{p}|)$ or $f(\mathbf{p}, -|\mathbf{p}|)$.

The norm of the solution F is defined in the way

$$\|F\|^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{d^3\mathbf{p}}{16\pi^3\omega^\alpha} \left[|f(\mathbf{p}, \omega)|^2 + |f(\mathbf{p}, -\omega)|^2 \right], \quad \alpha > 2. \quad (90)$$

The analytic signal transform of $F(\mathbf{x})$ plays the role of coefficients for the decomposition (14):

$$\tilde{F}(\mathbf{x} + i\mathbf{y}) \equiv \frac{1}{\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\tau}{\tau - i} F(\mathbf{x} + \tau\mathbf{y}), \quad \mathbf{x} = (\mathbf{x}, t), \quad \mathbf{y} = (\mathbf{y}, y_0). \quad (91)$$

Kaiser showed that it is sufficient to put $z = x + iy$ equal to $(\mathbf{x}, is)$, $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^3$, $s \neq 0$. Then the analytic signal transform (91) takes a simpler form in the Fourier domain:

$$\tilde{F}(\mathbf{x}, is) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{d^3 \mathbf{p}}{8\pi^3 \omega^\alpha} \omega^{\alpha-1} e^{-i\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{x}} \left[\Theta(s) e^{-\omega s} f(\mathbf{p}, \omega) + \Theta(-s) e^{\omega s} f(\mathbf{p}, -\omega) \right], \quad (92)$$

where Θ is the Heaviside step function. Further an arbitrary solution $F(\mathbf{x})$ with the finite norm (90) can be represented in the form

$$F(\mathbf{x}') = \int_E d\mu_\alpha(z) \Psi_z(\mathbf{x}') \tilde{F}(z), \quad \mathbf{x}' = (\mathbf{x}', t), \quad z = (\mathbf{x}, is), \quad (93)$$

$$d\mu_\alpha(z) = \frac{2^{\alpha-3}}{\Gamma(\alpha-2)} d^3 \mathbf{x} ds |s|^{\alpha-3}, \quad E = \{(\mathbf{x}, is), \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^3, s \neq 0\},$$

$$\Psi_{\mathbf{x}, is}(\mathbf{x}') = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{d^3 \mathbf{p}}{8\pi^3 \omega} \omega^{\alpha-1} e^{i\mathbf{p} \cdot (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}')} \left[\Theta(\omega s) e^{-\omega s + i\omega t} + \Theta(-\omega s) e^{\omega s - i\omega t} \right]. \quad (94)$$

The integral (93) is a special case of the representation in the most general form (14). The coefficients $\tilde{F}(z)$ do not depend on time and coordinates, and thus the formula (93) has the meaning of a superposition of solutions (94). The solution (94) is also considered in Section 4.1 in connection with proxy wavelets. In the position space it is given by the formula (74).

A disadvantage of the formula (93) is that we can use only one solution $\Psi(\mathbf{x}')$ as a 'building block' for the reconstruction. The method presented in this paper is free of this disadvantage.

B Relationship between the scalar product in $\mathcal{H}$ and four-dimensional distributions

In Section 3.1, we introduced a solution of the wave equation in the sense of distributions. We regarded solutions as distributions in three spatial variables $\mathbf{r} = (x, y, z)$ and the time t that is viewed as the parameter. This approach is similar to that presented in [23]. However we may view solutions as distributions in all variables $\mathbf{r}, t$. Then we must use four-variable

test functions $\alpha(\mathbf{r}, t) \in \mathbb{S}(\mathbb{R}^4)$. The Fourier transform of a solution $u \in \mathcal{H}$ calculated in four variables has the form

$$F[u](\mathbf{k}, \omega) = \delta(\omega + c|\mathbf{k}|) \hat{u}_+(\mathbf{k}, 0) + \delta(\omega - c|\mathbf{k}|) \hat{u}_-(\mathbf{k}, 0). \quad (95)$$

Next we calculate the action of u on $\alpha(\mathbf{r}, t) \in \mathbb{S}(\mathbb{R}^4)$, using the Plancherel equality $(u(\mathbf{r}, t), \alpha(\mathbf{r}, t)) = 1/2\pi^3 (\hat{u}(\mathbf{k}, \omega), \hat{\alpha}(\mathbf{k}, \omega))$. We obtain

$$(u(\mathbf{r}, t), \alpha(\mathbf{r}, t)) = \left\langle \hat{u}_+(\mathbf{k}, 0), \overline{\alpha(\mathbf{k}, c|\mathbf{k}|)} \right\rangle + \left\langle \hat{u}_-(\mathbf{k}, 0), \overline{\alpha(\mathbf{k}, -c|\mathbf{k}|)} \right\rangle. \quad (96)$$

We introduce solutions $A_+(\mathbf{r}, t)$ and $A_-(\mathbf{r}, t)$ by means of the inverse Fourier transforms of $\overline{\alpha(\mathbf{k}, c|\mathbf{k}|)}$ and $\overline{\alpha(\mathbf{k}, -c|\mathbf{k}|)}$, respectively, in the form

$$A_+ = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} d^3\mathbf{k} \overline{\alpha(\mathbf{k}, c|\mathbf{k}|)} e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r} - i\omega t}, \quad A_- = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} d^3\mathbf{k} \overline{\alpha(\mathbf{k}, -c|\mathbf{k}|)} e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r} + i\omega t}.$$

Finally, formula (96) can be written in terms of these solutions:

$$(u(\mathbf{r}, t), \alpha(\mathbf{r}, t)) = \langle u_+(\mathbf{r}, t), A_+(\mathbf{r}, t) \rangle + \langle u_-(\mathbf{r}, t), A_-(\mathbf{r}, t) \rangle. \quad (97)$$

We emphasize that $\alpha(\mathbf{r}, t)$ is not a solution of the wave equation, but $A_+(\mathbf{r}, t)$, $A_-(\mathbf{r}, t)$, which are constructed by means of α , are solutions. So we conclude that the action of u on $\alpha \in \mathbb{S}(\mathbb{R}^4)$ can be expressed in terms of the scalar product in $\mathcal{H}$.

References

- [1] Babich V M and Popov M M 1989 *Izv. Vyssh. Uchebn. Zaved. Radiofiz.* **32** 1447–1466; English transl. 1990 *Radiophys. Quantum Electron.* **32** 1063–1081)
- [2] Popov M M 1982 *Wave Motion* **4** 85–97
- [3] Steinberg B Z, Heyman E and Felsen L B 1991 *J. Opt. Soc. Am. A* **8** 41–59
- [4] Heyman E and Felsen L B 2000 *J. Opt. Soc. Am. A*, **18** 1588–1611
- [5] Klauder J R and Skagerstam B S 1984 *Coherent States. Applications in Physics and Mathematical Physics* (World Scientific, Singapore)

- [6] Perelomov A 1986 *Generalized Coherent States and Their Applications* (Springer Verlag, Berlin)
- [7] Grossmann A and Morlet J 1984 *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, **15** 723–36.
- [8] Grossmann A, Morlet J and Paul T 1985 *J. Math. Phys.* **26** 2473–79
- [9] Daubechies I 1992 *Ten Lectures on Wavelets* (Philadelphia, PA: SIAM)
- [10] Antoine J - P, Murenzi R, Vandergheynst P and Ali S T 2004 *Two-dimensional wavelets and their relatives* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, UK)
- [11] Carmona R, Hwang W-L and Torresani B 1998 Practical Time-Frequency Analysis, in *Wavelet Analysis and Its Applications*, Ed Chui K **vol. 9** (Academic Press)
- [12] Mallat S 1999 *A Wavelet Tour of Signal Processing* (Academic Press)
- [13] Führ H 2005 *Abstract Harmonic Analysis of Continuous Wavelet Transforms* (Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg)
- [14] Kaiser G 1994 *A Friendly Guide to Wavelets*, (Boston:Birkhäuser)
- [15] Kaiser G 2003 *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.***36(30)** R291-R338
- [16] Kaiser G 2004 *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.***37(22)** 5929–47
- [17] Kaiser G 2005 *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.***38(2)** 495-508
- [18] Perel M V and Sidorenko M S 2006 Wavelet analysis for the solution of the wave equation, In: *Proc. of the Int. Conf. DAYS on DIFFRACTION 2006* Ed I V Andronov (SPbU) pp 208–17
- [19] Kiselev A P and Perel M V 1999 *Opt. and Spectrosc.* **3** 86
- [20] Kiselev A P and Perel M V 2000 *J. Math. Phys.* **41(4)** 1934–55
- [21] Perel M V and Fialkovsky I V 2003 *J. of Math. Sc.* **117(2)** 3994-4000
- [22] Perel M V and Sidorenko M S 2007 *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.***40** 3441–61
- [23] Gelfand I M and Shilov G E 1967 *Generalized Functions, Vol. 3: Theory of Differential Equations* (Academic Press: New York)

- [24] Battle G 1993 *J. Math. Phys.***34**(3) 1095–109
- [25] Perel M V and Sidorenko M S 2003 Wavelet Analysis in Solving the Cauchy Problem for the Wave Equation in Three-Dimensional Space In: *Mathematical and numerical aspects of wave propagation: Waves 2003* Ed G C Cohen, E Heikkola, P Jolly and P Neittaanmaki (Springer-Verlag) pp 794–98
- [26] Bateman H 1909 *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **7** 70-89
- [27] Bateman H 1955 *The Mathematical Analysis of Electrical and Optical Wave Motion on the Basis of Maxwell's Equations* (Dover, New York, NY)
- [28] Hillion P 1993 *Acta Appl. Math.* **30**(1) 35-45
- [29] Ziolkowski R W 1989 *Phys. Rev.A* **39** 2005–33
- [30] Besieris I M, Shaarawi A M and Ziolkowski R W 1989 *J. Math. Phys.***30** 1254
- [31] Kiselev A P 2007 *Opt. and Spectroscopy* **102**(4) 603-622
- [32] Iwo Bialynicki-Birula 1998 *Phys. Rev. Let.* **80** (24) 5247–50
- [33] Abramovitz M and Stegan I A (eds) 1970 *Handbook of Mathematical Functions* (Dover, New York, NY)
- [34] Brittingham J 1983 *J. Appl. Phys.* **54** 1179
- [35] Kiselev A P 1983 *Radiophysics and Quantum Electron.* **26**(5) 755–61
- [36] Kiselev A P and Perel M V 2000 *Dif. Eq.* **4** 41